

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 23313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/856,859	09/06/2001	Bronwyn Jean Battersby	NMICS-30355/US-1/PCT	4713
72960 Casimir Jones	7590 02/17/2010 S.C.	EXAMINER		
2275 DEMINO	G WAY, SUITE 310	WESSENDORF, TERESA D		
MIDDLETON	l, WI 53562		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/856,859	BATTERSBY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TERESA WESSENDORF	1639		

		TERESA WESSENDORF	1639	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FIL	ED 14 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply application application 	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on n, applicant must timely file one of the following n in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe ued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The pe no eve Examin	eriod for reply expiresmonths from the mailing iriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A nt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the ner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
have been filed is under 37 CFR 1.1 set forth in (b) abo	e may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). PEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice	e of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp lotice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3.	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to yraise new issues that would require further cor yraise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bob y are not deemed to place the application in bet eal; and/or y present additional claims without canceling a to	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying the	
4. The amer 5. Applicant 6. Newly pro	TE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). Indiments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Opposed or amended claim(s) would be all	none.		
7. For purpo how the no The status Claim(s) a Claim(s) o Claim(s) re	able claim(s), sees of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) lew or amended claims would be rejected is provious of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: llowed: none, longe, bipected to: none, sees of the claim(s) in the claim of the c		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR 8. The affida because a	OTHER EVIDENCE vit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu pplicant failed to provide a showing of good and artier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affida entered be showing a	vit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
	avit or other evidence is entered. An explanation RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	est for reconsideration has been considered bu tinuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
		/TERESA WESSENDO Primary Examiner	RF/	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 1639

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the last Office action mailed on 11/23/09.

Applicants' arguments have been considered but not found persuasive. The responses to the July 13, 2000 are incorporated herein. Please see the office action mailed on 11/13/09 A stated in the responses, the prior ant anticipate so renders obvoive to claim carrier in the claim carrier. For example with regards to the Egner reference, applicants argue that Egner discloses an aspect, but not features of the claim carrier as light scattering and fluorescence not corresponding to a code. However, Egner discloses the different color coded crief at e.g., Fig. 1, tage 735. Egner states, bid., the use of "fluorescence microscopy and complete spectrum analysis would enhance the sensitivity and potential of the system and enable the use of a number of fluorescent dyes which together with spectrum deconvolution would provide a very sensitive dye coding system. See further the foothotes at e.g., page 736. The claim carrier with the claim components are therefore anticipated or obvious over the prior art of record clied in the Office action maled on 11/13/09.